

Problems

4.11. BGK model. Show that the BGK model has the same collisional invariants as the Boltzmann equation and that an H -theorem exists.

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{c} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{r}} + \frac{\vec{F}}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{c}} = J_{\text{BGK}}[f] := \nu \{f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f]) - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)\} , \quad (4.41)$$

with

$$n[f] = \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) d^3 \vec{c} , \quad (4.42)$$

$$\vec{v}[f] = \frac{1}{n(\vec{r}, t)} \int \vec{c} f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}) d^3 \vec{c} , \quad (4.43)$$

$$\frac{3}{2} k_{\text{B}} T[f] = \frac{1}{n(\vec{r}, t)} \int \frac{m}{2} (\vec{c} - \vec{v})^2 f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}) d^3 \vec{c} . \quad (4.44)$$

- A collisional invariant $\varphi(\vec{c})$ is defined as the function that gives zero when multiplied with eq.(4.41) and integrated over $d^3 \vec{c}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \varphi(\vec{c}) J_{\text{BGK}}[f] d^3 \vec{c} := \nu \int \varphi(\vec{c}) \{f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f]) - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)\} d^3 \vec{c} \\ &= \nu \int \varphi(\vec{c}) \left\{ n[f] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}-\vec{v})^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) \right\} d^3 \vec{c} . \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

- the functions to be tested are $\varphi = \{m, m\vec{c}, \frac{1}{2}m(\vec{c} - \vec{v})^2\}$:

1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left\{ n[f] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}-\vec{v})^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) \right\} d^3 \vec{c} \\ &= n[f] \left(\int \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}')^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} d^3 \vec{c}' \right) - n[f] \\ &= n[f] \left(\int \pi^{-3/2} e^{-\vec{x}^2} d^3 \vec{x} \right) - n[f] = n[f] \left(\pi^{-3/2} 4\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^2 dr \right) - n[f] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

\vec{c} :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \vec{c} \left\{ n[f] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}-\vec{v})^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) \right\} d^3 \vec{c} \\ &= n[f] \left(\int (\vec{c}' + \vec{v}[f]) \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}')^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} d^3 \vec{c}' \right) - n[f] \vec{v}[f] \\ &= n[f] \left(0 + \vec{v}[f] \int \pi^{-3/2} e^{-\vec{x}^2} d^3 \vec{x} \right) - n[f] \vec{v}[f] \\ &= n[f] \vec{v}[f] \left(\pi^{-3/2} 4\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^2 dr \right) - n[f] \vec{v}[f] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$m(\vec{c} - \vec{v})^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int m(\vec{c} - \vec{v})^2 \left\{ n[f] \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}-\vec{v})^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) \right\} d^3 \vec{c} \\ &= n[f] \left(\int m\vec{c}'^2 \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\text{B}} T} \right)^{3/2} e^{-m(\vec{c}')^2/(2k_{\text{B}}T)} d^3 \vec{c}' \right) - n[f] 3k_{\text{B}} T[f] \\ &= n[f] m \left(\int \pi^{-3/2} \frac{2k_{\text{B}} T[f]}{m} \vec{x}^2 e^{-\vec{x}^2} d^3 \vec{x} \right) - 3k_{\text{B}} n[f] T[f] \\ &= n[f] \pi^{-3/2} 4\pi \left(2k_{\text{B}} T[f] \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^4 dr \right) - 3k_{\text{B}} n[f] T[f] \\ &= n[f] 4\pi^{-1/2} \left(2k_{\text{B}} T[f] \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{8} \right) - 3k_{\text{B}} n[f] T[f] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

For the H -theorem we have to find a function H , of which the total time derivative is always negativ.

- Using the same ansatz as for the Boltzman equation

$$H[f] = \int f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) \ln[f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)/f_0] d^3\vec{c} , \quad (4.23)$$

we get analogously

$$\frac{dH}{dt} = \int [\ln[f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)/f_0] + 1] \frac{df(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)}{dt} d^3\vec{c} = \int [\ln[f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)/f_0] + 1] J_{\text{BGK}}[f] d^3\vec{c} , \quad (4.24)$$

with a steady distribution, that does not depend on time. We know that $f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f])$ does not depend on time. If we set now for the definition of our H -function $f_0 = f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f])$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH}{dt} &= \nu \int [\ln f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t) - \ln f_0 + 1] \{f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f]) - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)\} d^3\vec{c} \\ &= -\nu \int [\ln f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f]) - \ln f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)] [f_{\text{MB}}(\vec{c}; n[f], \vec{v}[f], T[f]) - f(\vec{r}, \vec{c}, t)] d^3\vec{c} \\ &= -\nu \int [\ln x - \ln y] [x - y] d^3\vec{c} < 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

since we had already, that 1 is a collisional invariant, eq. (2) and its integral vanishes.

- The rest of the argument for the H -theorem is exactly the same as for the Boltzmann equation:
 1. the integrand for H , $f \ln[f/f_0]$, is bounded from below.
 2. so H can only then become unbounded from below, if the integral for large $|\vec{c}|$ diverges.
 3. that requires that $f \ln[f/f_0]e^2 < -Ac^{-1}$ for some positive constant A .
 4. the total energy is finite and conserved, limiting $f < Bc^{-5}$ for some positive constant B .
 5. conditions 3. and 4. together imply $f < f_0 e^{-Ac^2/B}$.
 6. this result 5. gives a finite H , which contradicts the assumption, that H can be unbounded from below.
 7. therefore H will obtain its minimum value, given by the collisional invariants, i.e. by f_{MB} .